

Sociology & Cultural Research Review (JSCRR)

Available Online: <https://scrr.edu.com.pk>

Print ISSN: [3007-3103](#) Online ISSN: [3007-3111](#)

Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)

**DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN INDIA CHINA CONFLICT
AND ITS IMPACT ON PAKISTAN**

Muhammad Hassan

Department of Political science and international relations, University of
Management and Technology, Lahore Pakistan

hassanmuhammad9@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The conflict between India and China, rooted in historical, territorial, and geopolitical disputes, continues to shape the regional dynamics of South Asia. This research explores the use of disruptive technologies in the India-China conflict and examines its implications for Pakistan's economic, political, and foreign policy landscapes. Disruptive technologies, including advancements in artificial intelligence, cyber warfare, and space-based systems, have intensified the competition between these two nuclear-armed nations, further complicating the regional security environment. Pakistan, as a key player in South Asia, finds itself deeply impacted by this rivalry, navigating its geopolitical challenges and opportunities amidst the evolving technological race. This paper delves into the historical background of the India-China conflict, including territorial disputes such as the McMahon Line and the 1962 Sino-Indian War, to contextualize the current competition. By focusing on how disruptive technologies are shaping regional power dynamics, this research investigates their role in economic influence, military strategies, and geopolitical alignments. The paper also addresses the ways in which Pakistan, as a neighboring state, experiences the ripple effects of this technological arms race on its national security, foreign relations, and strategic partnerships. Through a detailed analysis, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between the India-China conflict and disruptive technologies while highlighting their broader implications for Pakistan and South Asia.

Keywords: *India-China Conflict, Disruptive Technologies, Pakistan Foreign Policy, Regional Security, Geopolitical Dynamics*

INTRODUCTION

The conflict between India and China is not new to World. The relative countries are first and second most populated countries in the world. Similarly, the both countries are also one of the biggest countries in Asia. Both countries have very progressive and growing economies but they both see each other as rival. Both

countries are big market for international community. Both countries share long border of 3123 km and it is a volatile border along the Himalayan plains. The militaries of both countries have a significant presence in these border areas, both countries have a vast history of rivalry. The duel of these countries dates back to the colonial legacy of the respective countries. The British colonial power has marked the boundaries of this areas. Additionally, both countries now equipped with nuclear weapons and both countries now have a very big competition in the with each other. India, China and Pakistan are the most important countries in Asia.

In this article I will discuss the conflict between India and China and its effects on Pakistan. I will look into this conflict in the area of “destructive technologies” I will look to the matter that how the competition to acquire destructive technologies has an effect on Pakistan. I will look into the economic as well as political and geopolitical aspects of this conflict. I will divide this paper into different parts so that I will give a detailed and thorough understanding of the topic.

The India-China conflict has deep historical, territorial, and political roots, with key disputes over borders, regional influence, and national security. The conflict has its roots in the pre independence colonial era when the territory was colonized by the British. The border of India and China is in the Himalayan range and the Tibetan plateau separates both countries. For a long period of time Tibet was an autonomous region and it was not part of China. The whole region has been linked by silk road since the pre modern era. The long history of trade from Mongols till the British colonization has been rich. India does not recognize Tibet as part of China but it recognizes Dalai Lama as the legitimate government of the region. In 1950 people’s republic of China annexed Tibet and make it as province, the Indian govt. of Nehru resisted this move and many of the people flee to India including the Dalai Lama. They were settled in the border town known as Dharmasala.

This was the beginning of the conflict, although the conflict was there when McMahan draws that line in 1912. The McMahan line is the border between Tibet and Indian state of Arunachal Pradesh. This was the border demarcation treaty between British India and Tibet, China at that time was in century of humiliation and it was not consulted by the British and Tibetans authorities. The McMahan line is named after sir henry McMahan the purpose of marking this boundary was to mark the borders between Tibet and

India. Because the Chinese were not consulted that's why they refuse to accept McMahon line as the official border with India. Thus, it claims Arunachal Pradesh as its own part and names it as south Tibet.

The McMahon Line remains a source of ongoing territorial disputes. In 1962, China and India fought the Sino-Indian War, which was partly a result of disagreements over the border, including the McMahon Line. Since then, tensions have persisted along the border, although efforts have been made to resolve the dispute through diplomatic and military talks. The McMahon Line is largely respected by India as its boundary with China in the eastern sector. India administers Arunachal Pradesh as an integral part of its territory. China, however, continues to dispute this and claims the region as part of its Tibet Autonomous Region.

The issue of Dalai Lama led to border clashes and these clashes turn into all-out war in October 1962. In this war China attacked northern parts of India occupying regions in Ladakh and Arunachal Pradesh. After this China unilaterally withdrew its troops and established a ceasefire. This war was a big embarrassment for India.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The India-China conflict, with its historical and geopolitical dimensions, has been extensively studied, highlighting its impact on regional security and neighboring states like Pakistan. This review examines the existing body of literature on the historical roots of the conflict, the role of disruptive technologies, and the implications for Pakistan. Scholars have explored the colonial legacy as a foundational element of the India-China conflict. The McMahon Line, established during British rule, remains a contentious issue, with China rejecting it as an illegitimate demarcation (Garver, 2001). The annexation of Tibet in 1950 and the subsequent Sino-Indian War of 1962 have been central themes in understanding the mistrust between the two nations. Scholars like Maxwell (1970) argue that the 1962 war not only solidified the border dispute but also positioned India and China as regional rivals. Despite multiple rounds of talks, the Himalayan border remains volatile, with recent skirmishes, such as the 2020 Galwan Valley clash, emphasizing the persistent tension (Singh, 2021).

The integration of disruptive technologies, including artificial intelligence, cyber capabilities, and space-based systems, has been a focal point in recent analyses of the India-China rivalry. Scholars argue that the technological arms race is reshaping traditional

notions of security, with both nations investing heavily in surveillance, missile defense systems, and cyber infrastructure (Tellis et al., 2018). Disruptive technologies have enhanced their military capabilities, allowing both countries to project power and assert dominance. Panda (2020) highlights how these technologies are not only deepening the India-China rivalry but are also influencing the broader regional security architecture.

Pakistan's strategic location and historical ties with both China and India place it at the crossroads of this conflict. Literature underscores Pakistan's reliance on China as a counterbalance to India, with initiatives like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) deepening their strategic partnership (Small, 2015). However, the technological competition between India and China poses both opportunities and challenges for Pakistan. The advancements in cyber warfare and military technology by its neighbors compel Pakistan to enhance its own capabilities to maintain strategic stability (Khan, 2020). Additionally, scholars have noted how Pakistan's foreign policy is influenced by this rivalry, as it seeks to align with China while managing economic and geopolitical pressures from India and the broader international community.

While existing studies provide a comprehensive understanding of the India-China conflict and its historical and technological dimensions, there is limited research on the specific impact of disruptive technologies on Pakistan. Most analyses focus on bilateral rivalries, overlooking the ripple effects on neighboring states. Furthermore, the intersection of economic, geopolitical, and technological factors in shaping Pakistan's foreign policy remains underexplored.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

Two key research questions to guide this study, both of which are critical to understanding the dynamics of the India-China conflict and its implications for Pakistan. The first question explores how the ongoing conflict between China and India impacts Pakistan's economic and foreign policies. This involves examining the ripple effects of their rivalry on Pakistan's economic stability, trade opportunities, and diplomatic alignments. The second question investigates the economic competition between China and India in South Asia and its influence on Pakistan's role in the region. By addressing these questions, the study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the geopolitical and economic consequences of this rivalry for Pakistan.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Realism, one of the oldest theories in international relations, originates from the philosophical works of ancient Greek thinkers and evolved through the contributions of figures such as Thucydides and Thomas Hobbes. Its relevance grew during medieval Europe and, despite challenges from liberalism following World War I, realism reasserted its dominance after World War II, leading to the emergence of neo-realism. Renowned scholars like Machiavelli and Kant have shaped its foundational ideas.

Realism is centered on the anarchic nature of the international system, where no overarching authority exists, leaving states to prioritize self-help and survival. Realists assert that states, as the primary actors in global politics, engage in power struggles to ensure their security. This often results in a "security dilemma," where one state's defensive measures are perceived as threats by others, triggering an arms race. The realist perspective emphasizes the selfish nature of states, alliance-building, and the pursuit of power as means of survival.

In this research, realism provides the theoretical lens to analyse the India-China conflict and its implications for Pakistan. The conflict exemplifies the security dilemma, with both India and China perceiving each other as threats and responding with military build-ups along their shared border. For instance, China's acquisition of nuclear weapons in 1964 prompted India to develop its own nuclear program by 1974. Similarly, Pakistan responded by initiating its nuclear program, demonstrating the cascading effect of security concerns.

The balance of power theory is integral to understanding the India-China conflict, as both nations aim to maintain military parity in the region. The United States, seeking to counter China's rise, has supported India's military development, compelling Pakistan to strengthen its alliance with China. This dynamic highlights the anarchic nature of the international system and the realist concept of zero-sum games.

Disruptive technologies further underscore realist principles, as advancements by one state compel others to respond in kind, perpetuating the security dilemma. The power transition theory also informs this research by explaining the competition between an emerging superpower (China) and an established one (the United States). This theory sheds light on how global power

dynamics shape regional conflicts, including the India-China rivalry and its ripple effects on Pakistan.

For Pakistan, the realist framework is essential to understanding how this conflict impacts its security and foreign policy. Sharing borders with both India and China in a geopolitically sensitive region like Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan is deeply intertwined in this rivalry. The convergence of these borders underscores the strategic importance of the region and the need for a realist approach to navigate its challenges.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research methodology to explore the use of disruptive technologies in the India-China conflict and its implications for Pakistan. The approach involves a combination of historical analysis, document review, and case study techniques to provide a comprehensive understanding of the geopolitical and technological dynamics.

Research Design: A descriptive and analytical research design is used to investigate the interplay between disruptive technologies and regional security. The study focuses on examining primary and secondary data sources, including official government documents, policy reports, academic articles, and expert analyses. This design allows for a detailed exploration of the historical background, the role of disruptive technologies in the India-China rivalry, and their specific impact on Pakistan's economic and geopolitical landscape.

Data Collection: The study relies on secondary data collected from reputable sources. Key data sources include academic journals, books, think tank reports, and government publications. Peer-reviewed articles from platforms like JSTOR, Taylor & Francis, and Springer are used to ensure the credibility of the information. Reports from organizations such as the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) and Carnegie Endowment for International Peace provide insights into technological advancements and their military applications. Additionally, media coverage and expert commentary on recent developments, such as border clashes and technological initiatives, are included to provide context and relevance.

Data Analysis: Thematic analysis is employed to identify and categorize key themes within the collected data. This method involves examining patterns and trends related to the historical roots of the conflict, the role of disruptive technologies, and their impact on Pakistan. By analyzing these themes, the study seeks to

draw connections between the India-China rivalry and Pakistan's strategic positioning. The analysis also considers the economic, political, and security dimensions of the conflict, highlighting their interdependencies.

Case Study Approach: The study incorporates a case study approach to examine specific instances where disruptive technologies have influenced the India-China conflict and its implications for Pakistan. For instance, the analysis of initiatives like CPEC and recent technological advancements in South Asia provides practical insights into the broader theoretical framework.

Scope and Limitations: The study is limited to secondary data and focuses on the impact of disruptive technologies in the context of the India-China conflict and its effects on Pakistan. Primary data, such as interviews or fieldwork, is not included, which may limit the scope of firsthand insights. Despite this, the reliance on credible secondary sources ensures a robust and comprehensive analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Disruptive technology refers to an innovation that significantly alters the way businesses, industries, or consumers operate. It often creates a new market or reshapes an existing one, displacing established products, services, or business models. The term was popularized by Clayton M. Christensen in his book *The Innovator's Dilemma*.

Disruptive technologies have very much important Characteristics there are many important aspects of disruptive technologies and it is very important to this article. The main benefit of disruptive technology is its simplification and redefines. It is a new form of technology and it has totally changed the landscape of warfare in the global levels. Initially, it was not seen as an effective technology and it was seen as an inferior form of technology but now it simplifies tasks and provides access to previously inaccessible solutions. The second main advantage and characteristic is that this technology is accessible and affordable, it is cheaper than the existing technologies and it is also can attract developing countries. It is appealing to new or underserved costumers. Another important advantage is that this technology has room for improvement unlike the other forms of existing technologies. This technology gains traction by improving its performance to eventually meet or exceed the needs of mainstream markets. The main examples of disruptive technologies are smartphones, streaming services, electric vehicles, artificial

intelligence etc. When disruptive technologies comes to modern warfare has totally changed the landscape in the warfare. Disruptive technologies in modern warfare refer to innovations that fundamentally change military strategies, tactics, and operations. These technologies can provide significant advantages in terms of speed, efficiency, precision, and effectiveness while rendering traditional methods or systems obsolete. The main disruptive technologies in the warfare range differently from ground warfare to space warfare. These technologies include artificial intelligence which can be used in Autonomous drones, predictive analytics, decision-making support, and intelligent surveillance systems.

Artificial intelligence has so much impact on warfare such situational awareness, speeds up decision making and reduces human involvement in combat operations. Another disruptive technology in warfare is unmanned aerial vehicles and autonomous system. The (UAVs) can be used in warfare in drone swarms, reconnaissance, air strikes and supply delivery in hostile environment. The UAVs have impacted the global modern warfare, such as it is cost-effective and It can help in avoiding human life loss. Drone swarms can overwhelm enemy defenses and carry out coordinated attack. Cyber Warfare is also a part of disruptive technology and it can be used in modern warfare it is used in combat battlefield in Offensive hacking, cyber espionage, and protection against attacks on critical infrastructure. Cyberwarfare also has changed the landscape of modern warfare and battlefield operations. It enables non kinetic warfare to disrupt enemy communication, logistics and governance system without physical engagement. Hypersonic technology is also form of disruptive technology hypersonic technology enables of producing missiles and rockets which exceeds from speed of Mach 5. It has a high speed and cruise type maneuverability which make every defense system ineffective. Direct energy weapons also use disruptive technologies as part of modern warfare.

These DEWs use high-energy lasers and microwave weapons it is for missile defense and disabling electronic systems. It gives a cost effective and result oriented solution of counter air and missile threats. Quantum computing and quantum communication is another type of system which uses in code breaking, secure communication, and enhancing sensor capabilities. It has impacted warfare such as it increases computational power for strategic planning and ensures uncheckable communication

networks. Space based technologies is also an example of disruptive technologies which can be used in satellite surveillance, communication and targeting system. It impacted global warfare it has raises the global firepower and real time monitoring and missile targeting capabilities. Robotics is also a form of disruptive technologies which can enhance soldier capabilities, can provide logistical support, and provide explosive ordinance disposal. It reduces human casualties and performs operations in hazardous environment and terrain.

Nations or states which invest in disruptive technologies can get military edge in warfare. These types of technologies are very important for strategic benefits. Autonomous systems and bioweapons raise questions about accountability and morality in warfare. Modern disruptive technologies are shaping the future of conflict, emphasizing speed, precision, and adaptability while reducing the reliance on traditional military systems. This evolution requires nations to rethink strategies, enhance their technological capabilities, and address new ethical and regulatory challenges.

Now I will look how these technologies are at play in the Indochina conflict. How these technologies have turned the whole landscape of this conflict is point of discussion in this area of research project. As far as China is concerned the technologies are used very effectively in warfare. China has been actively developing and integrating disruptive technologies to enhance its military capabilities, aiming to modernize its forces and achieve strategic advantages. Chinese military uses different types one of them is Artificial intelligence. China has invested huge in artificial intelligence including autonomous drones, intelligence surveillance systems, and decision-making support tools. The integration of AI is seen as crucial for future warfare, potentially transforming command and control structures and operational efficiency. China also uses hypersonic technology as a form disruptive technology. China has tested hypersonic glide vehicles capable of travelling at speeds pf exceeding Mach 5, which can maneuver during flight, making difficult to detect and intercept. In August 2021, China conducted a test where a hypersonic missile circled the globe descending toward its target, demonstrating significant advancements in this field. China has also started development of stealth and next generational aircraft. China has unveiled new stealth aircraft designs, including tailless configurations that enhance radar evasion.

Notably, on December 26, 2024, China introduced a sixth-generation fighter jet with a tailless, diamond-shaped design, indicating progress in stealth technology and aerodynamic efficiency. China has hugely invested in quantum technologies. China is pursuing quantum computing and communication technologies to secure military communications and potentially develop capabilities in code-breaking and advanced sensing, which could disrupt current paradigms in cybersecurity and intelligence. Directed energy weapons has also been used by china to enhance the capabilities of warfare. The People's Liberation Army (PLA) is exploring high-powered lasers and microwave weapons for applications such as missile defense and disabling adversary electronic systems, aiming to develop non-kinetic means of engagement.

China has developed sophisticated cyber warfare units capable of offensive and defensive operations, including cyber espionage and attacks on critical infrastructure, recognizing the strategic importance of cyberspace in modern conflicts. China is also investing in space technologies. China is expanding its military presence in space, developing satellite constellations for communication, navigation, and reconnaissance, as well as anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons, reflecting the increasing militarization of space. These developments underscore China's commitment to leveraging disruptive technologies to transform its military capabilities, potentially altering the balance of power and introducing new challenges in global security dynamics. The investment of China in disruptive technologies by China has totally changed the global modern warfare because China's technological capabilities has significantly increased in previous 10 to 12 years. Now China is an emerging technology power and it has posed a real threat to existing world power USA and NATO. The competition between China and West has enables China to make technological advancements.

India is struggling against China in disruptive technologies but slowly India has start competition with China for technological advancement. The main investment of India is in rocketry force. On March 11, 2024, the Indian government declared that it had conducted a successful test of an Agni-V ballistic missile featuring multiple independently targetable reentry vehicle (MIRV) technology. As per India's Defense Research and Development Organization, several reentry vehicles were monitored during the test. Conversations are currently underway regarding the

implications of this new development for India's nuclear strategy and its effects on India's relationship with China—the nation that this technology is most likely directed towards.

India's trial of MIRV technology on the Agni-V missile demonstrates that it is achieving significant technological progress in its capacity to target China. The first batch of nuclear-capable ballistic missiles and various delivery systems from New Delhi were targeted at Pakistan. In the past ten years, India's progress in nuclear and conventional military capabilities has focused on addressing the threat posed by China. The Agni-V missile, said to have a range of 5,000 kilometers—classifying it as an intermediate-range ballistic missile—has been stated by Chinese officials to have a true range nearer to 8,000 kilometers, categorizing it as an intercontinental ballistic missile. This indicates India's capacity to reach all parts of China's mainland. The MIRV capability will significantly enhance this ability.

India and China both adhere to a nuclear no-first-use policy. This indicates that they have pledged not to initiate the use of nuclear weapons against an opponent. However, India's MIRV capability suggests that it might possess an enhanced capacity to execute a large-scale first strike that could evade missile defenses using multiple warheads and decoys, should it decide to renounce its no-first-use policy. For India, this strategy could be more attractive to implement against Pakistan to achieve escalation dominance across all conflict levels, along with the appeal of launching a disarming first strike against Islamabad's lesser nuclear arsenal. Nevertheless, it is more probable that India intends to utilize this technology to enhance and solidify its second-strike capability against China. Its MIRV test indicates that should India be attacked first, New Delhi would still possess a substantial quantity of miniaturized warheads distributed across road, rail, and sea-based delivery systems, capable of causing significant damage to China in a retaliatory attack.

India's eventual deployment of MIRV-equipped ballistic missiles may aim to counteract China's recent progress in missile defense, as suggested by reports of a successful ground-based mid-course missile interception trial. In the end, India's sea-launched ballistic missiles—carried on the nuclear-powered submarine INS Arahant and three additional planned ballistic missile-carrying nuclear submarines—will form the core of its second-strike capability. MIRV-equipped submarine-launched ballistic missiles will enhance the Indian Navy's capability for “continuous-at-sea-

deterrence,” focused on maintaining a nuclear force that can withstand a nuclear first strike. The advancement of MIRV technology in India, nonetheless, should not be regarded independently.

MIRVs should be evaluated in conjunction with India's establishment of its planned Integrated Rocket Force, a conventional missile system that will feature both short- and long-range cruise and ballistic missiles. The Parlay ballistic missile, capable of traveling 150 to 500 kilometers, is said to constitute a significant portion of this missile force, which is being developed to focus on China. The nuclear strategic stability between India and China—ensured by MIRV-equipped nuclear missiles capable of secure second-strikes—will allow for conventional military exchanges and escalations below the nuclear threshold. Enhanced strategic stability in the Sino-Indian relationship could, as a result, significantly raise the likelihood of escalation at lower tiers of conflict. For instance, India's Integrated Rocket Force may be deployed to strike Chinese conventional forces positioned along the Line of Actual Control, which defines the disputed border between both nations.

Indian and Chinese soldiers have engaged in confrontations several times at various locations along the Line of Actual Control in recent years. Beijing has developed significant infrastructure on its side and regularly challenges the Indian military by crossing into the Indian side of the boundary line. India, for its part, has enhanced its capacity to counter China by boosting the divisions of its Mountain Strike Corps. New Delhi anticipates increased confrontations with China at minimal escalation levels along the 2,100-mile disputed border in the Himalayas.

Nuclear strategic stability will probably allow India to deploy its Integrated Rocket Force in conventional scenarios. This will enable it to aim at Chinese troops and facilities in particular areas along the Line of Actual Control using conventional rockets, as well as short-range cruise and ballistic missiles. It remains uncertain how China will react to India's qualitative modifications in its nuclear and conventional military capabilities. China does not consider India a rival competitor in the fields of nuclear weapons and missiles. Despite China's heightened vulnerability to India's strategic missile forces, Beijing still retains both a numerical and qualitative advantage over New Delhi in the capacity for nuclear weapons delivery. China's strategic stance toward India is unlikely to shift in the near future, as the imbalance in Sino-Indian

strategic relations persists unchanged, even with India's MIRV capability. An editorial in the *Global Times* following India's Agni-V test claimed that “significant disparities, including generational ones, remain between India and other great powers like the US, China, and Russia regarding missile capabilities.” The emphasized point here was that for Beijing, China’s rival is the United States, not India. Nonetheless, China keeps a close watch on India’s missile developments. This was shown by its evident use of a “research vessel” in the Bay of Bengal to monitor India’s MIRV test.

India's MIRV capability is still in its infancy and is not expected to immediately disrupt Sino-Indian strategic stability. New Delhi’s brief statement regarding its MIRV test did not specify the number of reentry vehicles examined, nor did it clarify if they were merely multiple reentry vehicles with decoys or if all these vehicles were, in fact, independently targetable. Further information would have to surface before China or any other rival views this development as a serious threat.

Ultimately, the primary issue for Sino-Indian relations following the MIRV test and the alleged strengthening of strategic stability is the opportunity this will create for conventional crisis escalation. If the Indian Integrated Rocket Force and the Chinese People’s Liberation Army Rocket Force were to conduct conventional missile exchanges amidst a border crisis, it’s possible that neither side could avert the eventual escalation of conflict to the strategic level. In the summer of 2020, two weeks following the death of 20 Indian soldiers during a confrontation along the India-China border, India prohibited Tok-tok along with a number of other Chinese mobile apps. Since that time, it has persisted in prohibiting various Chinese applications: social media platforms, gaming applications, financial technology apps, and even dating applications. The term “China” does not appear in any government announcements regarding these bans; however, they did offer a justification for these limitations, stating that these applications were, in their words, “illegally obtaining and secretly sending users’ data to servers situated outside of India.” The gathering of this information, its analysis and profiling by entities detrimental to national security and India's defense, ultimately affects the sovereignty and integrity of India, is an issue of great and urgent concern that necessitates immediate action.

The rationale and timing behind these restrictions indicate that in India’s perspective, geopolitics and technology are interconnected

regarding China. This connection and the issues it raises extend far beyond mobile applications to encompass data, telecom networks, vital and emerging technologies, semiconductors, and the broader landscape of electronic components and supply chains. Geopolitical changes are influencing India's perspective that it cannot remain neutral about technology and must indeed make decisions. Moreover, technology is influencing and molding competitive geopolitics.

IMPACTS ON PAKISTAN

The technology competition between India and China have significantly impacted Pakistan in all aspects. I will look into economic, political, social, geostrategic and other levels. The rivalry between two giants of the region has raised security concerns for Pakistan especially in the border regions with these both countries. Pakistan has been in security dilemma because of the continuous security built up of India and acquisition of deadly weapons of India. This security dilemma has led Pakistan to build up its own weaponry. This security built up led to economic implications for Pakistan because Pakistan had to spend more on defense and as a result the economic sector does not grow. The conflict between India and China have complicated the matter because India now feels threatened from China and as a result it looks to increase its security presence and also the long-standing partnership between Pakistan and China force Pakistan to drag into this conflict. Also, because Pakistan is heavily dependent on Chinese weaponry so Pakistan will be compelled to be dragged in this conflict. But Pakistan has ultimate benefit also because India can change its focus from Pakistani border to Chinese border which will help Pakistan to stabilize border and gain time to make strong defense and strong economy.

The India-China tensions, particularly in Ladakh, have indirectly highlighted territorial conflicts, such as those in Kashmir. Pakistan leverages this conflict to highlight the Kashmir dispute on global forums, referencing the ongoing territorial disputes in the area. Strains between India and China have increased China's strategic interest in CPEC, bolstering Pakistan's infrastructure and economic initiatives. Regional unrest could impact cross-border trade and economic stability, especially if tensions rise into larger conflicts. Pakistan may feel compelled to increase its defense spending due to heightened regional tensions, potentially straining its economy. Increased tensions can lead to proxy conflicts in the region, with Pakistan potentially supporting groups to counter

Indian influence. Pakistan can leverage the India-China conflict to strengthen alliances with both China and other regional powers like Turkey and Russia. Pakistan uses the conflict to highlight its narrative against India, particularly in forums like the UN and OIC.

Any conflict involving nuclear-armed states raises global security concerns. Pakistan remains a key player in the regional stability equation, making its role critical in de-escalation efforts. In summary, the India-China conflict provides Pakistan with both strategic advantages and challenges, influencing its diplomatic strategies, security policies, and economic considerations. The relations between China and Pakistan have been standing on the joint rivalry with India this allows stronger military cooperation between the militaries of these countries. Pakistan's military is a developing military and it cannot sustain an increasing Indian developing military machine. Pakistan will resort to self-help in this regard and will do every step to increase its own military force and military presence in the border regions. The cooperation with China also forces Pakistan away from United States. So, in general, the increased India China conflict in the region will led to more cooperation between Pakistan and China and less interaction between USA and Pakistan. This will change the power dynamics in the region and favor China greatly.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The India-China conflict, rooted in historical disputes and amplified by modern geopolitical rivalries, continues to reshape regional dynamics in South Asia. The incorporation of disruptive technologies, such as artificial intelligence, cyber capabilities, and advanced military systems, has added a new dimension to this rivalry, impacting not only bilateral relations but also neighboring countries like Pakistan. This study underscores the multidimensional implications of the India-China rivalry on Pakistan's economic and geopolitical landscape, particularly through the lens of disruptive technologies.

Historically, the conflict originates from unresolved border disputes, such as the McMahon Line and China's annexation of Tibet, which have fostered deep mistrust between the two nations. These tensions have escalated into military skirmishes and diplomatic standoffs, with recent events such as the Galwan Valley clash highlighting the volatile nature of the relationship.

The study finds that disruptive technologies have transformed the traditional security paradigm. Both India and China are leveraging

these advancements to enhance their strategic and military capabilities, intensifying the competition for regional dominance. For Pakistan, this rivalry presents both opportunities and challenges. While Pakistan benefits from its strategic partnership with China, especially through initiatives like the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), it faces pressures to enhance its own technological and military capabilities to maintain regional stability and safeguard its interests.

The analysis also reveals that the India-China conflict significantly influences Pakistan's foreign policy, compelling it to navigate a complex web of alliances and economic dependencies. Pakistan's alignment with China offers a counterbalance to India's growing influence, but it also necessitates strategic foresight to mitigate potential risks.

Recommendations

Strengthen Technological Capabilities: Pakistan should invest in research and development (R&D) to enhance its technological infrastructure, particularly in areas like cyber security, artificial intelligence, and surveillance systems. Collaborations with China on technology transfer and joint ventures can bolster Pakistan's strategic capabilities.

Enhance Regional Diplomacy: Pakistan should adopt a proactive approach to regional diplomacy by facilitating dialogue between India and China to reduce tensions. By positioning itself as a mediator, Pakistan can enhance its geopolitical standing and promote stability in South Asia.

Leverage Strategic Partnerships: Strengthening the Pakistan-China partnership is crucial for addressing shared security concerns and advancing economic growth. Joint initiatives, such as infrastructure development under CPEC, should be expanded to include technological innovation.

Develop a Comprehensive National Security Strategy: Pakistan should formulate a national security strategy that integrates economic, technological, and military dimensions. This strategy should prioritize resilience against the ripple effects of the India-China conflict and focus on sustainable development to minimize external vulnerabilities.

By adopting these measures, Pakistan can effectively navigate the challenges posed by the India-China conflict while leveraging opportunities to strengthen its strategic and economic position in the region.

REFERENCES

- Baruah, A. (2020). *India-China Border Disputes: Historical Perspectives and Strategic Dimensions*. Routledge.
- Basrur, R., & Sullivan de Estrada, K. (2017). *Rising India: Status and Power*. Routledge.
- Bhardwaj, A. (2021). "Galwan Valley Clash and the Future of India-China Relations." *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 29(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02185377.2021.1878023>
- Bisht, M. (2019). "India-China Relations: Implications for South Asia." *Strategic Analysis*, 43(6), 503–514. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09700161.2019.1688686>
- Chansoria, M. (2019). *China's Military Modernization: A Rising Challenge to India*. Pentagon Press.
- Garver, J. W. (2016). *Protracted Contest: Sino-Indian Rivalry in the Twentieth Century*. University of Washington Press.
- Global Times. (2020). "Technology Competition Between China and India." Retrieved from <https://www.globaltimes.cn>
- Iqbal, Z. (2020). "CPEC and Pakistan's Economic Security: Opportunities and Challenges." *Pakistan Journal of International Affairs*, 3(2), 15–29.
- Jacob, H. (2020). *The Line of Fire: India-China Relations and the Future of Asia*. HarperCollins.
- Kapur, S. P., & Ganguly, S. (2021). "China, India, and the Balance of Power in Asia." *International Security*, 46(1), 41–70. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00406
- Khurana, G. S. (2021). "Geopolitical Implications of Sino-Indian Rivalry for South Asia." *Maritime Affairs*, 17(2), 159–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09733159.2021.1958813>
- Malik, M. (2011). *China and India: Great Power Rivals*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Ministry of External Affairs, India. (2022). "India-China Relations: A Timeline of Key Events." Retrieved from <https://mea.gov.in>
- Stockholm International Peace Research Institute. (2021). "Military Expenditure and Technology Competition in Asia." Retrieved from <https://www.sipri.org>
- Wolf, S. O. (2020). *The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor of the Belt and Road Initiative: Concept, Context, and Assessment*. Springer.